# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

TEXTRON INNOVATIONS INC.,	)
Plaintiff,	)
	) C. A. No. 05-486 (GMS)
<b>v</b> .	)
	) JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
THE TORO COMPANY,	)
	)
Defendant.	)

# NOTICE OF SERVICE OF SUBPOENA AD TESTIFICANDUM AND DUCES TECUM

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, pursuant to Rule 45 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Defendant The Toro Company has served or will serve the attached subpoena on Randal S. Knurr c/o Christopher C. Campbell, Hunton & Williams LLP, 1751 Pinnacle Drive, Suite 1700, McLean, VA 22102.

#### POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON LLP

#### OF COUNSEL:

/s/ David E. Moore By: Earl D. Reiland Richard L. Horwitz Anthony R. Zeuli David E. Moore Thomas J. Leach Hercules Plaza, 6th Floor MERCHANT & GOULD P.C. 1313 N. Market Street 3200 IDS Center Wilmington, Delaware 19899-0951 80 South 8th Street Minneapolis, MN 55402 (302) 984-6000 rhorwitz@potteranderson.com (612) 332-5300 dmoore@potteranderson.com

Dated: November 9, 2006

761268 Attorneys for Defendant The Toro Company

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

# FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

TEXTRON INNOVATIONS INC., Plaintiff,	
· ····································	SUBPOENA IN A CIVIL CASE
V. THE TORO COMPANY, Defendant	CASE NUMBER: 05-486 (GMS) (Venued in the District of Delaware)
TO: Randal S. Knurr	
C/O Christopher C. Campbell Hunton & Williams LLP 1751 Pinnacle Drive, Suite 1700 McLean, VA 22102	
YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear in the United States District Court at the p in the above case.	lace, date, and time specified below to testify
PLACE OF TESTIMONY	COURTROOM
	DATE AND TIME
YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear at the place, date, and time specified below above case. See attached Notice of Videotaped Deposition	w to testify at the taking of a deposition in the
PLACE OF DEPOSITION	DATE AND TIME
Whyte Hirschboeck Dudek 555 East Wells Street	Wednesday, November 15, 2006
Suite 1900	9:00 A.M.
Milwaukee, WI 53202	
YOU ARE COMMANDED to produce and permit inspection and copying of the date, and time specified below:	e following documents or objects at the place,
See attached Schedule A	
Whyte Hirschboeck Dudek 555 East Wells Street Suite 1900 Milwaukee, WI 53202	Tuesday, November 14, 2006 10:00 A.M
YOU ARE COMMANDED to permit inspection of the following premises at the	e date and time specified below.
PREMISES	DATE AND TIME
Any organization not a party to this suit that is subpoenaed for the taking of a de directors, or managing agents, or other persons who consent to testify on its behalf, and matters on which the person will testify Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, 30(b)(6).	position shall designate one or more officers, nay set forth, for each person designated, the
ISSUING OFFICER SIGNATURE AND TITLE (INDICATE IF ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF OR DEFENDANT)	DATE
Attorney for Defendant The Toro Co. Anthony & Zeuli	November 9, 2006
ISSUING OFFICER'S NAME ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER Anthony R. Zeuli, Esq. MERCHANT & GOULD P C. 3200 IDS Center, 80 South Eighth Street Minneapolis, MN 55402 Phone: 612-332-5300	

	PROOF OF SERVICE
DATE	PLACE
SERVED	
SERVED ON (PRINT NAME)	MANNER OF SERVICE
SERVED BY (PRINT NAME)	TITLE
	DECLARATION OF SERVER
I declare under penalty of perjury un Proof of Service is true and correct.	er the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing information contained in th
Executed on	SIGNATURE OF SERVER
	CONTROL OF HEALTH
	ADDRESS OF SERVER

Rule 45. Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Parts C & D:

# (c) PROTECTION OF PERSONS SUBJECT TO SUBPOENAS

- (1) A party or an attorney responsible for the issuance and service of a subpoena shall take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to that subpoena. The court on behalf of which the subpoena was issued shall enforce this duty and impose upon the party or attorney in breach of this duty an appropriate sanction, which may include, but is not limited to, lost earnings and a reasonable attorney's fee.
- (2)(A) A person commanded to produce and permit inspection and copying of designated books, papers, documents or tangible things, or inspection of premises need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless commanded to appear for deposition, hearing or trial.
- (B) Subject to paragraph (d)(2) of this rule, a person commanded to produce and permit inspection and copying may, within 14 days after service of the subpoena or before the time specified for compliance if such time is less than 14 days after service, serve upon the party or attorney designated in the subpoena written objection to inspection or copying of any or all of the designated materials or of the premises. If objection is made, the party serving the subpoena shall not be entitled to inspect and copy the materials or inspect the premises except pursuant to an order of the court by which the subpoena was issued. If objection has been made, the party serving the subpoena may, upon notice to the person commanded to produce, move at any time for an order to compel the production. Such an order to compel production shall protect any person who is not a party or an officer of a party from significant expense resulting from the inspection and copying commanded
- $(3)(\Lambda)$  On a timely motion, the court by which a subpoena was issued shall quash or modify the subpoena if it
  - (i) fails to allow reasonable time for compliance;
- (ii) requires a person who is not a party or an officer of a party to travel to a place more than 100 miles from the place where that person resides, is employed or regularly transacts business in person, except that, subject to the provisions of clause (c)(3)(B)(iii) of this rule, such a person may in order to attend trial be commanded to travel from any such place within the state in which the trial is held, or

- (iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter and no exception or waiver applies, or
  - (iv) subjects a person to undue burden
- (B) If a subpoena
- (i) requires disclosure of a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information, or
- (ii) requires disclosure of an unretained expert's opinion or information not describing specific events or occurrences in dispute and resulting from the expert's study made not at the request of any party, or
- (iii) requires a person who is not a party or an officer of a party to incur substantial expense to travel more than 100 miles to attend trial, the court may, to protect a person subject to or affected by the subpoena, quash or modify the subpoena or, if the party in whose behalf the subpoena is issued shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship and assures that the person to whom the subpoena is addressed will be reasonably compensated, the court may order appearance or production only upon specified conditions

#### (d) DUTIES IN RESPONDING TO SUBPOENA

- (1) A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents shall produce them as they are kept in the usual course of business or shall organize and label them to correspond with the categories in the demand
- (2) When information subject to a subpoena is withheld on a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial preparation materials, the claim shall be made expressly and shall be supported by a description of the nature of the documents, communications, or things not produced that is sufficient to enable the demanding party to contest the claim

# SCHEDULE A

#### DEFINITIONS

The following definitions are to be applied with regard to the subpoena:

Accused Products. The phrase "Accused Products" means any Toro product that Plaintiff alleges infringes any claim of the Patents-at-Issue.

Communication. The term "communication" means the transmittal of information in the form of facts, ideas, inquiries or otherwise.

Concerning: The term "concerning" means relating to, referring to, pertaining to, describing, evidencing, or constituting.

Defendant The term "Defendant" as used here, refers to The Toro Company.

Document. The term "document" is defined to be synonymous in meaning and equal in scope with the broadest usage of such term in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 34. Such term includes, without limitation, any writing and each original, master and every copy of the following items, however produced or reproduced, namely: books, accounting records of any nature whatsoever, agreements, communications, correspondence, telegrams, cables, telexes, facsimile documents, memoranda, recordings, studies, summaries or records of telephone conversations, summaries or records of personal conversations or interviews, diaries, letters, forecasts, statistical statements, graphs, laboratory or engineering reports or records, notebooks, charts, plans, sketches, drawings, information-bearing photographic products of any nature whatsoever, phonograph records, microfilms, tape recordings, minutes or records of meetings or conferences, expressions or statements of policy, lists of persons attending meetings or conferences, reports or summaries of interviews, reports or summaries of investigations, opinions or reports of consultants, patent appraisals, opinions of counsel, records, reports or summaries of

negotiations, sales literature of any nature whatsoever, brochures, catalogues, catalogue sheets, price lists, pamphlets, periodicals, advertisements, circulars or trade letters, press releases, trade releases, publicity releases, new product releases, reprints, drafts of any documents, working papers, indices, notes of any nature whatsoever, marginal notes appearing on any documents. computer printouts, computer disks, computer memory files, and other data compilations from which information can be obtained or translated. Where a copy contains any marking not appearing on the original or is altered from the original, then such items shall be considered to be a separate original document...

Gang-Type Rotary Lawn Mower. The phrase "Gang-Type Rotary Lawn Mower" means gang-type lawn mowers having multiple rotary cutting units.

Patents-at-Issue. The phrase "Patents-at-Issue" means U.S. Patent No. 6,047,530; U.S. Patent No. 6,336,311; and U.S. Patent No. 6,336,312.

Plaintiff. The term "Plaintiff," or a pronoun referring to Plaintiff, means the Plaintiff Textron Innovations, Inc., Textron, Inc., including but not limited to Jacobsen, A Textron Company, Ransomes Inc., Cushman, Inc, and their officers, directors, employees, partners, past or present corporate parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, divisions, predecessors, and agents of any of the forgoing. The terms "director," "officer," "employees," or "agent" means any individual serving as such and any individuals serving at any relevant time in such capacity, even though no longer serving in such capacity.

Covered Products. The phrase "Covered Products" means any product made by Plaintiff (including Textron, Inc., Ransomes, Inc., Ransomes America Corp., Jacobsen and their affiliates) and alleged to be covered under the Patents-at-Issue or products a licensed under the Patents-at-Issue, including, but not limited to, the AR 250, AR 2500, AR 5 and AR 3.

Roller. The term "roller" is defined to be a device that resists scalping and stripes the grass. For example, part number 58 in U.S. Pat. No. 6,047,530.

# DOCUMENTS AND THINGS REQUESTED

- 1. All documents and things concerning the design, development, testing, reduction to practice of any prototype or embodiment, manufacture and/or production of the Covered Products including, but not limited to, invention records, notes, research notebooks, drawings, reports, sketches, interview reports or summaries, component part information, test reports or summaries, data compiled during such tests and any results or conclusions, designs, flowcharts, simulations, photographs, videotapes, meeting minutes, e-mails, Gant charts, diaries, time sheets, schedules and correspondence.
- All documents and things concerning the Covered Products, including but not 2. limited to the following:
  - Product Definitions documents
  - Short Form product definition document
  - Project Review documents
  - Engineering change orders
  - Engineering drawing sets
  - Repair information documents
  - Documents concerning product returns
  - Warranty claim documents
  - Marketing brochures
  - Operations and Safety Manuals
  - Setup, Parts & Maintenance documents
  - Product Review documents
- All documents and things concerning your contributions to the Covered Products 3. and the Patents-at-Issue.
  - All documents concerning the Patents-at-Issue and/or the Covered Products. 4.

- 5. All documents and things concerning activities relating to the sale, offer for sale, disclosure, display, demonstration, marketing, or any public promotion or display of any prototype or embodiment of the Covered Products.
- All Product Planning Committee Meeting (PPC) Minutes and Agendas from 1988 6. through 2002.
- All documents and things concerning articles, papers, talks or presentation 7. relating to Gang-Type Rotary Lawn Mowers or rotary cutting units having rollers.
- All documents concerning Gang-Type Rotary Lawn Mowers or rotary cutting 8.. units having rollers produced before February 3, 1997.
- All documents and things concerning any knowledge of, viewing of, inspection or 9. testing of any non-party Gang-Type Rotary Lawn Mowers or rotary cutting units having a roller, including but not limited to the Lesco 500 Rotary or the Risboro Turf RTS Rotary Cutters used on the Beaver T24.
- All documents concerning any tradeshows from 1988 through 2002, including 10. documents showing the tradeshows you attended.
- All product literature, marketing literature, advertising, promotional documents, 11. business plans, sales literature, product catalogs, data books, data sheets, product selection guides, instructional marketing videos or computer disks, selling points and brochures regarding the Covered Products.
  - Copies of your business calendar(s) from 1988 to 2000. 12.
- Copies of all articles or papers published or unpublished concerning the Covered 13. Products.

- Documents sufficient to identify the trade journals you had access to and when, including subscription documentation.
  - 15. Documents concerning the Toro Company.

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

TEXTRON INNOVATIONS INC.,	)
Plaintiff,	)
	) C. A. No. 05-486 (GMS)
v.	)
	) JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
THE TORO COMPANY,	)
	)
Defendant.	)

# NOTICE OF VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF RANDAL KNURR

To: Textron Innovations Inc. and its attorneys:

Scott L. Robertson Hunton & Williams LLP 1751 Pinnacle Drive, Suite 1700 McLean, VA 22102

Edmond D. Johnson The Bayard Firm 222 Delaware Ave. Suite 900 Wilmington, DE 19801

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Defendant, The Toro Company, will take the deposition by oral examination of Randal Knurr commencing on **Wednesday**, **November 15**, **2006**, beginning at **9:00 a.m.** at the offices of Whyte Hirschboeck Dudek, 555 East Wells Street, Suite 1900, Milwaukee, WI 53202, or at some other place mutually agreed upon by counsel.

Said deposition will be taken by stenographic and videographic means before a notary public and will continue from day to day as necessary until completed.

# POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON LLP

OF COUNSEL:

Earl D. Reiland Anthony R. Zeuli Thomas J. Leach MERCHANT & GOULD P.C. 3200 IDS Center 80 South 8th Street Minneapolis, MN 55402 Tel: (612) 332-5300

Dated: November 9, 2006

761263/29362

By: /s/ David E. Moore

Richard L. Horwitz David E. Moore Hercules Plaza, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor

Hercules Plaza, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor 1313 N. Market Street

Wilmington, Delaware 19801

Tel: (302) 984-6000

rhorwitz@potteranderson.com dmoore@potteranderson.com

Attorneys for Defendant The Toro Company

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, David E. Moore, hereby certify that on November 9, 2006, the attached document was electronically mailed and hand delivered to the following persons and was electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF which will send notification to the registered attorney(s) of record that the document has been filed and is available for viewing and downloading:

Edmond D. Johnson Peter B. Ladig The Bayard Firm 222 Delaware Avenue, Suite 900 Wilmington, DE 19801 tjohnson@bayardfirm.com pladig@bayardfirm.com KWright@bayardfirm.com

I hereby certify that on November 9, 2006, I have Electronically Mailed and Federal Expressed the documents to the following:

Christopher C. Campbell Hunton & Williams LLP 1900 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20006-1109 srobertson@hunton.com ccampbell@hunton.com mlouey@hunton.com lmarlatt@hunton.com fmckeon@hunton.com dmckim@hunton.com jalbert@hunton.com

David Young Hunton & Williams LLP 1751 Pinnacle Drive, Suite 1700 McLean, Virginia 22102 mpphelps@hunton.com dyoung@hunton.com

By: /s/ David E. Moore

Richard L. Horwitz David E. Moore Hercules Plaza, 6th Floor 1313 N. Market Street Wilmington, Delaware 19899-0951

(302) 984-6000

rhorwitz@potteranderson.com dmoore@potteranderson.com